

Updated Commissioning Criteria for the use of therapeutic immunoglobulin (Ig) in immunology, haematology, neurology and infectious diseases in England January 2019

This updated commissioning criteria on the use of therapeutic immunoglobulin (Ig) in immunology, haematology, neurology and infectious diseases has been based on a previous review of the literature updated with a further evidence review, expert opinion and multi-organisational input. The criteria have been developed by the Ig policy working group following wide consultation with specialty experts, relevant scientific societies and the respective Clinical Reference Groups for haematology, immunology, neurology and infectious diseases. Recommendations on Ig dose and outcomes are based on a combination of available evidence and expert opinion. These criteria apply to the use of Ig in both adults and children.

As compared with the previous iteration of the Department of Health guidelines (2nd edition update; July 2011), it provides greater detail around the role, dose and place of Ig in the treatment pathway for individual indications alongside possible alternative treatment options. The colour coding scheme, which was previously devised for demand management but often utilised as a commissioning tool, has been replaced by categorisation of Ig use in to routinely commissioned or not commissioned categories based on the strength of evidence. Note: The Department of Health guidelines colour coding scheme will still apply if the demand management scheme is officially implemented in times of short supply.

This commissioning criteria has focused on those indications previously categorised as red (conditions for which Ig treatment is considered the highest priority because of a risk to life without treatment) and Blue (conditions for which there is a reasonable evidence base for the use of Ig but other treatment options are available). As a significant proportion of Ig use is in haematology, immunology and neurology, the first phase of the criteria review focused on those indications within these specialties. There have been a number of supply issues of pathogen specific immunoglobulin over the past year, so use of Ig in specific infectious diseases was also included in phase one of the overall Ig review.

Within this updated commissioning criteria, an additional column clarifying whether prior panel approval is required for use of Ig in individual indications is included. Where local expertise is not available, panels will also be able to advise on dose optimisation and trials of treatment withdrawal.

The second phase of the update will review the use of Ig in those indications classified as red or blue under other within the current Clinical Guidelines for Immunoglobulin use. This will include:

- Autoimmune congenital heart block/paediatric myocarditis

- Autoimmune uveitis
- Kawasaki disease
- Necrotising (PVL associated) staphylococcal sepsis
- Severe or recurrent *Clostridium difficile* colitis
- Staphylococcal or streptococcal toxic shock syndrome
- Toxic epidermal necrolysis, including Steven Johnson Syndrome
- Transplantation (solid organ)

The third phase will be based on a detailed evidence review of the use of Ig in disorders previously categorised as grey indications (immune-mediated disorders with limited or little/no evidence), where the high quality evidence base was weak or absent, or the disease was rare. As with red and blue indications, only those grey indications which are supported by adequate evidence of Ig efficacy will be commissioned.

Whilst the 2nd and 3rd phases of the criteria review are underway NHS England will continue to commission Ig in other indications and in grey indications in line with the Current Clinical Guidelines for Immunoglobulin use (2nd edition update; July 2011).

In keeping with the advice included in previous iterations of these guidelines and to ensure cost-effective use and minimise dose-dependent adverse effects, Ig prescribing will be based on ideal body weight- adjusted dosing (Chow et al Transfusion and Apheresis Science 2012;46:349-52; Stump et al. Pharmacotherapy 2017; 37:1530-1536). In a small minority of patients where this approach may be sub-optimal, higher doses of Ig may be required.

Use of Immunoglobulin in immunology:

Immunoglobulin is routinely commissioned in the following indications, under the circumstances described:

Indications	Selection criteria	Exclusion criteria:	Position of immunoglobulin, taking into account alternative therapies:	Recommended dose	Clinical outcomes	Prior panel approval required
Primary immunodeficiencies associated with significant antibody defects (excluding specific antibody deficiency) – long term use	A specific PID diagnosis must be established by a clinical immunologist	No	Ig is the only definitive treatment for antibody deficiency	Initiate at 0.4–0.6 g/kg/month; dose requirements may increase and should be based on clinical outcome	Trough IgG Reduction in number of infections, treatment courses of antibiotics, days in hospital.	No
Thymoma with immunodeficiency – long term use	Profound B cell depletion and/or significant antibody deficiency	No	Ig is the only definitive treatment for antibody deficiency	Initiate at 0.4–0.6 g/kg/month; dose requirements may increase and should be based on clinical outcome	Trough IgG Reduction in number of infections, treatment courses of antibiotics, days in hospital.	No
HSCT in primary immunodeficiencies – long term use	PID patients undergoing HSCT	No	Ig is the only definitive treatment for antibody deficiency	Initiate at 0.4–0.6 g/kg/month; dosing requirements may increase and should be based on clinical outcome. Because of the possibility of B-cell reconstitution, evaluation of immune function (off Ig) is required at 2 years.	Trough IgG	No

<p>Specific antibody deficiency – long term use</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diagnosis by a clinical immunologist • Severe, persistent, opportunistic or recurrent bacterial infections despite continuous oral antibiotic therapy for 6 months • Documented failure of serum antibody response to unconjugated pneumococcal or other polysaccharide vaccine challenge 	<p>No, but see comments in column of position of immunoglobulin</p>	<p>Many patients with specific antibody deficiency will achieve protection from bacterial infections with prolonged antibiotic prophylaxis. Ig is reserved for those patients in whom antibiotic prophylaxis proves to be ineffective.</p>	<p>Initiate trial at 0.4–0.6 g/kg/month for a period of 6 to 12 months; Long-term maintenance treatment should be based on clear evidence of benefit from this trial and require panel approval. Dose requirements may increase and should be based on clinical outcome.</p>	<p>Reduction in number of infections, treatment courses of antibiotics, days in hospital. Database parameters will include entry of number of infections and days in hospital pre-treatment and 6 monthly thereafter</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>Secondary antibody deficiency – long term use</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Underlying cause of hypogammaglobinaemia cannot be reversed or reversal is contraindicated; <p>OR:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hypogammaglobinaemia associated with drugs, therapeutic monoclonals targeted at B cells and plasma cells (rituximab and other anti-CD20, CD19 agents, daratumumab etc) post-HSCT, NHL, CLL, MM or other relevant B-cell malignancy confirmed by haematologist; <p>AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recurrent or severe bacterial infection despite continuous oral antibiotic therapy for 6 months • IgG <4 g/L (excluding paraprotein) • Documented failure of serum antibody response to unconjugated pneumococcal or other polysaccharide vaccine challenge • It is recognised that vaccine challenge may be of limited value in patients with very low serum IgG (< 3g/L). In these circumstances vaccine challenge may be omitted if it is considered inappropriate clinically. 	<p>No, but see comments in column of position of immunoglobulin</p>	<p>Many patients with secondary antibody deficiency will achieve protection from bacterial infections with prolonged antibiotic prophylaxis. Ig is reserved for those patients in whom antibiotic prophylaxis proves to be ineffective.</p> <p>Since infection susceptibility in patients with haematological malignancies is frequently multifactorial, the reduction in overall burden of infections with long term Ig replacement may be variable. For this reason annual reviews of treatment are recommended. In patients with seasonal preponderance of infections, it may be appropriate to consider temporary cessation of Ig in the summer.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 0.4 – 0.6 g/kg/month modified to achieve an IgG trough level of at least the lower limit of the age-specific serum IgG reference range 	<p>Reduction in number of infections and days in hospital (Database parameters will include entry of number of infections and days in hospital pre-treatment and 6 monthly thereafter)</p>	<p>Yes</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is acknowledged that not all of the above criteria will need to be fulfilled for an individual patient. • In patients developing hypogammaglobinaemia associated with B-cell aplasia as a consequence of Chimeric Antigen Receptor – T cell therapy (CAR-T cells) targeted against B cell antigens, the prophylactic use of Ig in the absence of a burden of severe infections and vaccine challenge may be appropriate.* 					
--	---	--	--	--	--	--

*There is variable practice regarding Ig replacement in adult patients with hypogammaglobinaemia post-HSCT for haematological malignancy. The American Society for Blood and Marrow transplantation and the Canadian Blood and Marrow Transplant group have recently stated as follows:

- Don't routinely give Ig replacement to adult HSCT recipients in the absence of recurrent infections regardless of the IgG level (Bhella et al. Choosing Wisely BMT. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2018;24:909-13)

It is possible that patients with recurrent sino-pulmonary infections on a background of chronic pulmonary GVHD and hypogammaglobinaemia may benefit if they fulfil the criteria for secondary antibody deficiency.

Use of Immunoglobulin in Haematology:

Immunoglobulin is routinely commissioned in the following indications, under the circumstances described:

Indication	Eligibility criteria:	Exclusion criteria:	Position of immunoglobulin, taking into account alternative therapies:	Recommended dose:	Outcome measures to be recorded on the national database:	Prior panel approval required
Alloimmune thrombocytopenia (foetal-maternal/neonatal) (FMAIT NAIT):/	<p>Prevention or treatment of foetal thrombocytopenia or haemorrhage: Clinical suspicion of FMAIT in the antenatal setting based on clinical and laboratory features:</p> <p>Unexplained previous foetal death, haemorrhage, hydrocephalus or thrombocytopenia or known affected sibling,</p> <p>AND</p> <p>the presence of maternal platelet-specific alloantibodies directed against current paternal antigens (most commonly HPA-1a or HPA-5b).</p> <p><u>Prevention or treatment of neonatal thrombocytopenia or haemorrhage:</u> Clinical suspicion of NAIT in the neonatal setting based on clinical features suggestive of bleeding e.g. purpura and/or bruising and/or more serious bleeding and a low platelet count.</p>	No	<p>Immunoglobulin is the primary treatment and sometimes combined with steroids</p> <p>First line treatment is with HPA-1a/5b – negative platelets which covers 95% of HPA incompatibilities responsible for NAIT. Platelet transfusion is effective immediately. In contrast, immunoglobulin is a second line treatment and works in approximately 75% of cases. It has a delayed effect over 24 – 48 hours. Immunoglobulin may be of value if there is prolonged thrombocytopenia with the aim of minimising the need for platelet transfusions.</p>	<p>Maternal: 0.5 -1g/kg weekly throughout pregnancy. Dose and stage of gestation at which to start treatment to be tailored to individual risk profile primarily based on the history of NAIT in earlier pregnancies. Patients with a low-risk obstetric history should be commenced on 0.5.g/kg (Winkelhorst D et al. Fetal and neonatal alloimmune thrombocytopenia:evidence based antenatal and postnatal management strategies. Exp Rev Hematol 2017;10:729-737)</p> <p>Neonatal: 1g/kg; a 2nd dose may be required if thrombocytopenia persists</p>	<p>Successful outcome of pregnancy i.e. no severe haemorrhage such as intracranial haemorrhage</p> <p>Platelet count above $50 \times 10^9 /L$ at time of delivery</p> <p>Increment in neonatal platelet count</p>	<p>No – for NAIT Yes – for FMAIT</p>

Haemolytic disease of the newborn – short term use:	<p>Adjunct to continuous multiple phototherapy in cases of Rhesus haemolytic disease, or ABO haemolytic disease:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rising bilirubin despite intensive phototherapy Prevention of foetal haemolytic disease in women with a previous history of this and confirmed red cell antibodies to current paternal or foetal antigens, to delay the need for intrauterine transfusions 	No	Immunoglobulin is an adjunct to phototherapy	0.5kg/kg over 4 hours	Bilirubin level Need for exchange transfusion Long term morbidity	No																
Immune Thrombocytopenic Purpura (ITP) short term use:	<p>Immunoglobulin generally used in only 3 situations in ITP:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Life-threatening bleeding Where an immediate increase in platelet count is required e.g. before emergency surgery or other procedure (see table for target platelet counts) Where the patient is refractory to all other treatment to maintain the platelet count at a level to prevent haemorrhage. It may need to be given every 2-3 weeks during a period where other second line treatments are being tried. <p>Target platelet counts for surgery*</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="443 986 842 1270"> <thead> <tr> <th>Procedure</th> <th>Platelet count</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Dentistry</td> <td>>20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Simple dental extraction</td> <td>>30</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Complex dental extraction</td> <td>>50</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Regional dental block</td> <td>>30</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Minor surgery</td> <td>>50</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Major surgery</td> <td>>80</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Major neurosurgery</td> <td>>100</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>ITP in pregnancy: Maintenance treatment with Ig may be required antenatally to maintain platelets above $20 \times 10^9/l$ and/or to increase platelets to over $50 \times 10^9/l$ for delivery in</p>	Procedure	Platelet count	Dentistry	>20	Simple dental extraction	>30	Complex dental extraction	>50	Regional dental block	>30	Minor surgery	>50	Major surgery	>80	Major neurosurgery	>100	No	Thrombopoietin mimetics may be useful substitutes in some patients	<p><u>Adults:</u> 1g/kg as a single infusion. A 2nd dose may be required after 24 – 48 hours, if severe or life-threatening bleeding: e.g. Intracranial bleed or pulmonary haemorrhage Otherwise, if a haemostatically adequate platelet count is not achieved a 2nd dose (1g/kg) may be considered at day 5 to 7</p> <p><u>Children:</u> 0.8 – 1g/kg as a single infusion. A 2nd dose may be required after 24 – 48 hours, if severe or life-threatening bleeding, such as an intracranial bleed or pulmonary haemorrhage. Otherwise, if a haemostatically adequate platelet count is not achieved a 2nd dose (1g/kg) may be considered at day 5 to 7</p>	Increase in platelet count Resolution of bleeding Number of bleeding complications	<p>No for acute ITP; the use of a 2nd dose should be discussed with the designated panel lead.</p> <p>Yes – for maintenance treatment</p>
Procedure	Platelet count																					
Dentistry	>20																					
Simple dental extraction	>30																					
Complex dental extraction	>50																					
Regional dental block	>30																					
Minor surgery	>50																					
Major surgery	>80																					
Major neurosurgery	>100																					

	<p>women with symptomatic persistent or chronic ITP where other treatments have failed.</p> <p>*There is controversy regarding the target platelet count for epidural anaesthesia (Provan et al. Blood 2010;115:168-186). There are no data to support a minimum platelet count and each case must be carefully considered. In the absence of bruising, bleeding history, and anticoagulation and if the INR, APTT and fibrinogen levels are normal, a small consensus of obstetric anaesthetists agree no changes to normal practice are needed until the platelet count drops below 50.</p>					
<p>Acquired red cell aplasia associated with chronic parvovirus B19 infection – short term use</p>	<p>Parvovirus B19 infection:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Parvovirus B19 infection confirmed by PCR, AND Evidence of high viral load, usually above 10⁹ IU/ml <p>In cases of foetal hydrops:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Likely to be associated with parvovirus B19 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Infection other than parvovirus B19 	<p>Immunoglobulin is an adjunct to transfusion. Chronic parvovirus infection generally occurs on a background of immunosuppressive therapy, primary or HIV-related immunodeficiency and may resolve with a reduction in immunosuppression. Acute parvovirus infection associated with transient aplastic crisis requires urgent transfusion rather than Immunoglobulin.</p>	<p>1 – 1.2g/kg in divided doses. This may be repeated on relapse and for a 2nd relapse</p>	<p>Rise in haemoglobin</p> <p>Transfusion independence</p> <p>Reticulocyte count</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>Autoimmune haemolytic anaemia (AHA, including Evans syndrome) – short term use</p>	<p>AHA, including Evans syndrome:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Symptomatic or severe anaemia, except in patients with co-morbidities), <p>AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Refractory to conventional treatment with corticosteroids, <p>OR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Corticosteroids contra-indicated, <p>OR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> As a temporising measure prior to splenectomy 	<p>No</p>	<p>Immunoglobulin is reserved for patients unresponsive to steroids or where steroids are contra-indicated.</p>	<p>1-2g/kg in two to five divided doses. This may be repeated on relapse and for a 2nd relapse</p>	<p>Rise in haemoglobin</p> <p>Transfusion independence</p> <p>Reduction in haemolysis markers (bilirubin, lactate dehydrogenase)</p>	<p>No – for treatment of acute episodes Yes – for repeat courses</p>

	<p>AHA in pregnancy:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pregnant women with warm AHA refractory to corticosteroids OR with evidence of fetal anaemia. • Neonates of mothers with AHA who have evidence of haemolysis and rising bilirubin despite intensive phototherapy 					
<p>Post-transfusion hyperhaemolysis – short term use</p> <p>Prevention of haemolysis in patients with a history of transfusion-associated hyperhaemolysis Prevention of delayed haemolytic transfusion reaction</p>	<p>Treatment of acute post-transfusion hyperhaemolysis: Symptomatic or severe anaemia (Hb <6g/dL, with evidence of on-going intravascular haemolysis due to a delayed haemolytic transfusion/hyperhaemolysis). It is recognised that some patients with an Hb > 6 g/dl may require treatment.</p> <p>Patients who have had previous delayed haemolytic transfusion reactions/post-transfusion hyperhaemolysis or who have single or multiple allo-antibodies AND who may require a blood transfusion</p>	No	In combination with steroids, Immunoglobulin is used as first-line treatment.	<p>2g/kg (usually over two days) given with IV methylprednisolone</p> <p>1-2g/kg over two or five days given with steroids</p> <p>1 – 2 g/kg over 2 to 5 days, given with IV methylprednisolone</p>	<p>Rise in haemoglobin</p> <p>Transfusion Independence</p> <p>Reduction in haemolysis markers (bilirubin, lactate dehydrogenase)</p> <p>No haemolysis</p> <p>Maintenance of post-transfusion Hb at 1 – 3 weeks</p> <p>Avoidance of need for repeated transfusion</p>	No
<p>Coagulation factor inhibitors* (alloantibodies and autoantibodies) – short term use:</p>	<p><u>Acquired von Willebrand disease (VWD)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Life- or limb-threatening haemorrhage, AND • Failure to respond to other treatments, AND/OR • Prior to invasive procedure • Treatment directed by the haemophilia centre at which the patient is registered 	Acquired VWD associated with IgM monoclonal gammopathy	Immunoglobulin is a therapeutic option in acquired VWD, particularly in cases associated with a IgG monoclonal gammopathy alongside other therapies – plasmapheresis, desmopressin, VWF-containing concentrates and recombinant Factor VII.	Either 0.4g/kg for five days or 1g/Kg for two days	<p>Rise of factor level</p> <p>Resolution of bleeding</p> <p>Number of bleeding episodes</p>	Yes

Haemophagocytic syndrome – short term use:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diagnosis by consultant haematologist based on bone marrow biopsy, AND OR • Pancytopenia, AND • Non-response to conventional treatment (e.g. corticosteroids, immunosuppressive agents, chemotherapy), OR • Conventional treatment is contra-indicated or inappropriate 	No		2g/kg in two to five divided doses. This may be repeated on relapse and for a 2 nd relapse	Improvement of cytopenias Survival Improvement of HLH markers – Ferritin/soluble CD25	Yes
Post-transfusion purpura – short term use:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sudden severe thrombocytopenia 5 to 10 days post-transfusion of blood products, AND • Active bleeding (typically occurs in Caucasian HPA-1a antigen negative females previously exposed to HPA-1a antigen in pregnancy or transfusion) 	No	There are now very few cases in UK following the implementation of universal leucocyte-reduction of blood components in 1999.	1 - 2g/kg in divided doses over two to five days	Increase in platelet count Resolution of bleeding Number of bleeding complications	No

Use of Immunoglobulin in Neurology:

Immunoglobulin is routinely commissioned in the following indications, under the circumstances described:

Indication	Eligibility criteria:	Exclusion criteria:	Position of immunoglobulin, taking into account alternative therapies:	Recommended dose:	Outcome measures to be recorded on the national database:	Prior panel approval required
CIDP (including IgG or IgA associated paraprotein associated demyelinating neuropathy)	<p>Probable or definite diagnosis of CIDP by a neurologist according to the EFNS/International Peripheral Nerve Society Guidelines;</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Significant functional impairment inhibiting normal daily activities.</p> <p>All patients should have an initial documented assessment after induction dosing and a further assessment after 2-3 doses to demonstrate meaningful functional improvement.</p> <p>Annual withdrawal/clinical reviews should be performed to document on-going need.</p>	<p>No specific exclusion criteria but see general comments regarding prothrombotic risks of IVIg</p>	<p>IVIg should not always be considered first line treatment for CIDP, although it may be where steroids are contra-indicated and plasma exchange is not available. Where steroids, IVIg and plasma exchange are all available IVIg would be considered preferable in patients with motor predominant CIDP, rapidly progressive disease where rapid response is required (particularly patients requiring admission to hospital) or where steroids or plasma exchange are contra-indicated. Strong consideration should be given to the early use of steroids or plasma exchange in other circumstances.</p>	<p>An initiation regimen of a maximum 4g/kg divided into at least two courses of 1-2g/kg each, and given over a 4 to 8 week period, with assessment at the end of the period. Regimens to establish response might include: 2g/kg given over 2 to 5 days and repeated after 6 weeks (Fig 1 Lunn et al J Peripheral Nerv Syst 2016;21:33-37) 2g/kg initially followed by 1g/kg after 3 weeks and a further 1g/kg 3 weeks later (Hughes et al Expert Rev Neurother 2009;9:789-95) For maintenance dose optimisation see general note below</p>	<p>Efficacy outcomes should be used to measure response after the chosen initial regimen and thereafter when assessing for dose optimisation</p> <p>Clinically meaningful improvement in any three of the following prespecified measures per patient:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MRC score (7 pairs of muscles in upper and lower limb scored 0–5, maximum 70) • INCAT sensory sum score • ONLS (Overall Neuropathy Limitation Score) • Hand dynamometry • Inflammatory RODS score • 10-m walk (in seconds) • Up and go 10m walk (in seconds) • Berg Balance scale • Other validated disability score 	<p>Short-term initiation treatment to assess Ig responsiveness – No</p> <p>Long-term treatment - Yes</p>

<p>Guillain-Barre syndrome (GBS) (includes Bickerstaff's brain stem encephalitis and other GBS variants)</p>	<p>Diagnosis of GBS (or variant) in hospital, AND Significant disability (Hughes Grade 4); OR Disease progression towards intubation and ventilation OR mEGRIS score ≥ 3 OR Poor prognosis mEGOS ≥ 4</p>	<p>Patients with mild and/or non-progressive disease not requiring intubation. A second dose of IVIg is only indicated within 4 weeks and where there is a failure to increment IgG by ≥ 7g/l</p>	<p>Patients with Miller-Fisher Syndrome do not usually require IVIg and unless associated with GBS overlap with weakness will recover normally.</p>	<p>2g/kg given over 5 days (shorter time frame not recommended because of potential fluid overload and autonomic problems); Second dose may be considered at 14 days for non-responsive or late deteriorating patients if IgG not increased from baseline by ≥ 7g/l NB: IVIg dosing beyond 4 weeks is unlikely to have clinical benefit</p>	<p>Measure incremental increase in delta IgG at 2 – 7 days post-treatment. A further dose within 4 weeks of disease onset may be appropriate if delta IgG is <7g/l. If delta IgG ≥ 7g/l is attained no further dosing is necessary</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>IgM Paraprotein-associated demyelinating neuropathy</p>	<p>• Diagnosis by a neurologist, AND • Significant functional impairment inhibiting normal daily activities; AND • Other therapies have failed, are contra-indicated or undesirable</p>	<p>Mild disease with non progressive sensory loss and imbalance does not require treatment</p>	<p>IVIg is seldom significantly effective and response should be reviewed at least every 6 months if there is initial functional improvement. Alternative underlying haematological diagnoses should be considered which may direct treatment, or other therapies such as single agent rituximab (or biosimilars) should be considered.</p>	<p>An initiation regimen of a maximum 4g/kg divided into at least two courses of 1-2g/kg each, and given over a 4 to 8 week period, with assessment at the end of the period. Regimens to establish response might include: 2g/kg given over 2 to 5 days and repeated after 6 weeks (Fig 1 Lunn et al J Peripheral Nerv Syst 2016;21:33-37) 2g/kg initially followed by 1g/kg after 3 weeks and a further 1g/kg 3 weeks later (Hughes et al Expert Rev Neurother 2009;9:789-95)</p>	<p>Efficacy outcomes should be used to measure response after the chosen initial regimen and thereafter when assessing for dose optimisation</p> <p>Clinically meaningful improvement in any three of the following prespecified measures per patient:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MRC score (7 pairs of muscles in upper and lower limb scored 0–5, maximum 70) • INCAT sensory sum score • ONLS (Overall Neuropathy Limitation Score) • Hand dynamometry • Inflammatory RODS score • 10-m walk (in seconds) • Up and go 10m walk (in seconds) • Berg Balance scale 	<p>Yes</p>

				For maintenance dose optimisation see general note below	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Other validated disability score 	
Inflammatory Myopathies Dermatomyositis (DM) Polymyositis (PM)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diagnosis of myositis by a neurologist, rheumatologist, dermatologist or immunologist of DM or PM <p>AND EITHER:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Patients with PM or DM who have significant muscle weakness; <p>OR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dysphagia and have not responded to corticosteroids and other immunosuppressive agents; <p>OR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> DM with refractory skin involvement. 	No specific exclusion criteria but see general comments regarding prothrombotic risks of IVIg	<p>Where progression is not rapid and in the absence of contra-indications, steroids should be considered first</p> <p>IVIg is seldom effective in isolation and is best used as an adjunct to immunosuppressive therapy.</p> <p>Maintenance treatment with IVIg for a prolonged period (usually less than 12 months) may be required in a small minority of patients with inflammatory myositis, as a third line treatment after consideration of rituximab (see comments under position of immunoglobulin). In these cases, every effort should be made to establish the minimum clinically effective dose by either reduction of dose or lengthening the intervals between infusions. Cessation trials should be attempted at least annually to establish on-going need for treatment</p> <p>In patients with refractory disease associated with myositis-specific antibodies, rituximab (or biosimilar) has been approved as a second line treatment by NHS England (policy reference 16036/P); with IVIg being considered as a third line treatment.</p>	<p>An initiation course of a maximum 4g/kg divided into at least two courses of 1-2 g/kg each, and given over a 4 to 8 week period, with assessment after dosing. Regimens to establish response might include: 2g/kg given over 2 to 5 days and repeated after 6 weeks</p> <p>For maintenance dose optimisation see general note below</p>	<p>Clinically meaningful improvement in three pre-defined measures from the list below:</p> <p>DM: functional/disability scores (ADLs):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> semi-quantitative muscle scores (MRC sumscore) other quantitative muscle strength (e.g. MMT8) up and go 10-m walk (in secs) CDASI FVC HAQ <p>PM: functional/disability scores (ADLs):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> semi-quantitative muscle scores (MRC sumscore) other quantitative muscle strength (e.g. MMT8) up and go 10-m walk (in secs) HAQ FVC <p>Efficacy outcomes should be recorded after the initiation course and regularly reassessed and recorded thereafter</p>	Yes

<p>Myasthenia Gravis (MG), includes Lambert-Eaton Myasthenic Syndrome (LEMs)</p>	<p>Diagnosis of MG or LEMS by a neurologist AND EITHER; Acute exacerbation (myasthenic crisis); OR Weakness requires hospital admission; OR Prior to surgery and/or thymectomy</p>	<p>No specific exclusion criteria but see general comments regarding prothrombotic risks of IVIg</p>	<p>All patients requiring urgent in patient treatment should receive plasma exchange first if available, including considering transfer to an appropriate neuroscience centre. IVIg could follow plasma exchange if required Where plasma exchange is not available, IVIg may be appropriate In rare circumstances where a patient has failed all standard treatments (including steroids and immunosuppression) and where authorised by a specialist in MG from a centre with a specialist neuromuscular service, maintenance therapy may be considered. A rituximab biosimilar agent is likely to be an equally effective alternative therapy and has been approved by NHS England here for this group of patients with resistant myasthenia.</p>	<p>In acute exacerbation use plasma exchange first where available. Patients admitted to hospital should receive 1g/kg in the first instance, only receiving a further 1g/kg if there is further deterioration or no response. Patients with life threatening disease (ITU with respiratory and/ or bulbar failure) should receive 2g/kg. Refer to dose optimisation section for maintenance</p>	<p>Improvement in variation of myasthenic muscular strength and fatigue measures by the QMGs MG composite score. Additional efficacy may be monitored using:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Forward arm abduction time (up to 5 min) • Quantitative Myasthenia Gravis Score (Duke) • Respiratory function, e.g. forced vital capacity • Variation of another myasthenic muscular score • Dysphagia score • Dysarthria 1-50 counting • Diplopia or ptosis measurement 	<p>Myasthenic crisis – No Long-term treatment - Yes</p>
<p>Multifocal Motor Neuropathy (MMN)</p>	<p>Diagnosis by a neurologist of multifocal motor neuropathy with or without persistent conduction block; AND Significant functional impairment inhibiting normal daily activities</p>	<p>No specific exclusion criteria but see general comments regarding prothrombotic risks of IVIg</p>	<p>No alternative treatments known</p>	<p>An initiation regimen of a maximum 4g/kg divided into at least two courses of 1-2g/kg each, and given over a 4 to 8 week period, with assessment at the end of the period. Regimens to establish response might include: 2g/kg given over 2 to 5 days and repeated after 6 weeks (Fig 1 Lunn et al J Peripheral Nerv Syst 2016;21:33-37)</p>	<p>Improvement in 3 pre-specified measures from the below list:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MRC score • Power score from 7 pre-defined pairs of muscles including 4 most affected muscle groups neuro-physiologically • RODS for MMN • Hand dynamometry • ONLS • 10-m walk (in secs) • Any other validated MMN disability measure 	<p>Short-term treatment to assess Ig responsiveness – No Long-term treatment - Yes</p>

				2g/kg initially followed by 1g/kg after 3 weeks and a further 1g/kg 3 weeks later (Hughes et al Expert Rev Neurother 2009;9:789-95) For maintenance dose optimisation see general note below If no significant measurable and functionally meaningful improved in abilities has been achieved after 3 doses IVIg should be stopped		
Rasmussen's Encephalitis	When other therapies (such as steroids) have failed	No specific exclusion criteria but see general comments regarding pro-thrombotic risks of IVIg	Immunoglobulin is reserved for patients unresponsive to steroids and other therapies.	2g/kg given over 2-5 days and repeated monthly for three months for initial trial	Seizure frequency with expected reduction of 30% to continue therapy	Yes
Stiff person syndrome (SPS) or variant	Diagnosis of SPS or a variant (stiff limb, PERM, etc) by a consultant neurologist Supportive criteria: Demonstration of auto-antibodies to GAD, Glycine receptor, DPPX, amphiphysin, gephyrin or other stiff person associated antibodies AND/OR Continuous motor unit activity at rest on EMG testing in paraspinal or affected limb musculature	No specific exclusion criteria but see general comments regarding pro-thrombotic risks of IVIg	Consider plasma exchange as initial treatment. Rituximab is likely to be equally effective but is not commissioned for this indication.	An initiation regimen of a maximum 4g/kg divided into at least two courses of 1-2g/kg each, and given over a 4 to 8 week period, with assessment at the end of the period. Regimens to establish response might include: 2g/kg given over 2 to 5 days and repeated after 6 weeks (Fig 1 Lunn et al J Peripheral	Report on at least two of the measures below: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduction in stiffness • Up and go 10-m walk (in secs) • BRIT score • Number of spasms per day • Validated measure of functional abilities 	Yes

				<p>Nerv Syst 2016;21:33-37) 2g/kg initially followed by 1g/kg after 3 weeks and a further 1g/kg 3 weeks later (Hughes et al Expert Rev Neurother 2009;9:789-95) For maintenance dose optimisation see general note below. If no significant measurable and functionally meaningful improved in abilities had been achieved after 3 doses IVIG should be stopped</p>		
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Dosing optimisation for maintenance – general notes:

An ongoing issue for diseases that require long-term immunoglobulin treatment is that once significant and functional responsiveness to intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg) is demonstrated for a patient using standard immunomodulatory dosing, the 'maintenance' dosing required to maintain the therapeutic response is not well characterised. In this update, the dosing recommendations for some neurological indications include 'time to relapse' as the interval between doses. This approach is supported by recent evidence from The Oxford Programme for Immunomodulatory Immunoglobulin Therapy, which was set up to review multifocal motor neuropathy (MMN) and chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy (CIDP) treatment with immunoglobulin. In view of the uncertainty of both remission and disease progression in CIDP and MMN, The Oxford Programme reviewed the dose and infusion frequency of patients on a regular basis and showed that increasing the infusion interval proved successful in some patients and resulted in treatment discontinuation [Lucas et al J Clin Immunol 2010;Suppl 1:S84-9].

An alternative approach based on establishing the 'time to relapse' following the first or second dose followed by dose reduction has also been proposed and is equally feasible (see fig 1 Lunn et al J Peripheral Nerv Syst 2016;21:33-37). This ensures patients who need no more than 1 or 2 doses are not exposed to unnecessary doses and those with ongoing needs are optimised to a minimal dose.

Based on evidence from randomised trials, it is likely that up to 40% of patients with CIDP may be able to discontinue treatment (Adrichem et al J Peripheral Nerv Syst 2016) after 6-12 months, although a significant proportion may relapse and require retreatment. For this reason, periodic trials of cessation of treatment are recommended, especially in patients who appear to be stable even if optimally treated. The demonstration of continued IVIG requirement by forced suspension on more than 2 or 3 occasions over a 5-year period probably indicates ongoing long term dependence and further withdrawals are highly unlikely to be effective. Referral to a specialist neurology centre is recommended as early as possible.

In inflammatory myositis, maintenance treatment with IVIg for a prolonged period (usually less than 12 months) may be required in a small minority of patients. In these cases, every effort should be made to establish the minimum clinically effective dose by either reduction of dose or lengthening the intervals between infusions. Cessation trials should be attempted at least annually to establish on-going need for treatment. (Foreman et al Internal Med J 2017;47:112-115)

Specific exclusion criteria against the use of immunoglobulin have not been listed, but it is important to carry out benefit-risk analyses in certain patient groups: patients at high risk of thromboembolism (hypertension, diabetes, smoking, hypercoagulable states) should be counselled regarding the prothrombotic risks of immunoglobulin.

IgA deficiency is no longer considered a contra-indication to the use of immunoglobulin and should not be withheld because of theoretical concerns of adverse reactions. The role of anti-IgA antibodies in causing reactions is controversial and measurement of anti-IgA antibodies prior to undertaking treatment is not warranted.

Use of Immunoglobulin in Infectious Diseases:

Immunoglobulin is routinely commissioned in the following indications, under the circumstances described:

Indication	Eligibility criteria:	Exclusion criteria	Alternative treatment/place of immunoglobulin in the treatment pathway	Recommended dose:	Outcome measures to be recorded on the national database:	Prior panel approval required
Hepatitis A	<p>Immunoglobulin is recommended in addition to hepatitis A vaccine for contacts of hepatitis A who are less able to respond to vaccine</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (those aged 60 or over, OR • those with immunosuppression and those with a CD4 count <200 cell per microlitre), OR • those at risk of severe complications (those with chronic liver disease including chronic hepatitis B or C infection) 	See eligibility criteria	<p>Hepatitis A vaccine is recommended in addition to immunoglobulin</p> <p>Vaccine should be administered within 2 weeks of exposure</p>	<p>Subgam: <10 years 500mg >10 years 750mg</p> <p>To be given by intramuscular injection*. Given with vaccine in those at high risk, within 2 weeks of exposure (those over 60 years, immunosuppression, CD4 count <200 cell per microliter) and those at risk of severe complications.</p> <p>For those exposed between 2-4 weeks ago, immunoglobulin may also be offered to modify disease in those at risk of severe complications (i.e. chronic liver disease including chronic hepatitis B or C infection).</p>	<p>Outcome measures not routinely recorded on surveillance databases</p> <p>Immunoglobulin is issued nationally and locally, records are held of who immunoglobulin was issued for with respect to exposure to the hepatitis A virus.</p>	Yes
Measles (immunosuppressed individuals)	<p>Immunosuppressed individuals (Group A and Group B based on level of immunosuppression - https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/637003/Guidance_for_measles_pos)</p>	See eligibility criteria	For immunosuppressed contacts IVIg is mainstay management	0.15g/kg of IVIg recommended ideally within 72 hours of exposure although can be given up to 6 days.	Prevention of measles	Yes

	t-exposure_prophylaxis.pdf) who have had a significant exposure to measles and are known to be susceptible (based on vaccine history and /or IgG testing).			Where exposure recognised late or found to be antibody negative between 6 and 18 days after exposure, IVIg may be considered following discussion with specialist clinician.		
Measles (pregnant women and infants)	Pregnant women who have identified as susceptible based on vaccine history and /or antibody testing who have had a significant exposure to measles Infants under 9 months of age with a significant exposure to measles		For pregnant contacts, immunoglobulin is mainstay management for PEP. For infants below 6 months immunoglobulin is mainstay treatment; For infants aged between 6-8 months, MMR vaccine can be offered if exposure occurred outside household setting AND ideally should be given within 72 hours	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For pregnant contacts, approximately 2250mg – equivalent to 3 vials of Subgam Infants 0.6ml/kg up to a maximum of 1 vial (750mg) Subgam <p>Subgam to be given within 6 days of exposure in pregnant women and infants.</p>	Prevention of measles	Yes
Polio	To prevent or attenuate an attack: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An immunocompromised person inadvertently given live polio vaccine, OR An immunocompromised person whose contacts are inadvertently given live polio vaccine 		Immunoglobulin represents first-line treatment	<p><1 year: 250mg 1 – 2 years: 500mg >3 years: 750mg</p> <p>Stool samples from the immunosuppressed individual must be obtained one week apart. If poliovirus is grown from either sample, repeat immunoglobulin at 3 weeks. Continue weekly stool collection and administration of immunoglobulin three weekly until immunocompromise</p>	Either: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prevention of infection, or Resolution of infection 	Yes

				d individual's stool is negative for poliovirus on two occasions.		
Tetanus prone injury (prophylaxis) (IM-TIg or SCIg)	Tetanus specific immunoglobulin (TIG) has limited stock and is recommended for susceptible individuals sustaining high risk tetanus prone injuries as defined in interim guidance https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/tetanus-advice-for-health-professionals		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thorough cleaning of wound essential • Immunoglobulin for Prophylaxis • Booster of tetanus-containing vaccine for long term protection 	TIG: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 250 IU for most uses • 500 IU if more than 24 hours have elapsed or there is a risk of heavy contamination or following burns <p>The dose is the same for adults and children.</p> <p>Immunoglobulin: If TIG (for intramuscular use) cannot be sourced, immunoglobulin for subcutaneous or intra-muscular use may be given as an alternative. Based on testing for the presence of anti-tetanus antibodies of one immunoglobulin product, Subgam 16%, the volume of Subgam 16% required to achieve the recommended dose of 250IU is approximately 5mls – equivalent to one vial of 750mg. PHE has not undertaken formal testing of</p>	Prevention of tetanus infection	No

				<p>other available immunoglobulin products for subcutaneous use but similar levels of anti-tetanus potency are likely, based on their immunoglobulin concentration.</p> <p>Although no time frame is specified in the guidance, im TIG /immunoglobulin following a tetanus prone wound is only likely to confer benefit when given within incubation period of tetanus (10-21 days).</p>		
Suspected tetanus case (IVIg)	<p>Person with clinical symptoms suggestive of localised or generalised tetanus</p> <p>("in the absence of a more likely diagnosis, an acute illness with muscle spasms or hypertonia AND diagnosis of tetanus by a health care provider")</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wound debridement • Antimicrobials • IVIG based on weight • Supportive care <p>Vaccination with tetanus toxoid following recovery</p>	<p>Dosage based on equivalent dose of anti-tetanus antibodies of 5000 IU for individuals < 50kg and 10000 for individuals > 50kg</p> <p>See table below*</p>	Resolution of tetanus infection	No
Varicella zoster	<p>Individuals for whom intra-muscular injections are contra-indicated (e.g. those with bleeding disorders) and thus cannot receive prophylaxis with VZIG</p> <p>IVIg is indicated for these Individuals who fulfil all of the following three criteria:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Significant exposure to chickenpox (varicella) or shingles (zoster) during the infectious period 2) At increased risk of severe chickenpox i.e. immunosuppressed individuals, neonates and pregnant women 3) No antibodies to varicella-zoster virus (based on VZV antibody testing) 	<p>Mildly immunocompromised whose level of immunosuppression does not meet the criteria for either Group A or Group B do not require VZIG e.g. children on doses of prednisolone less than 2mg/kg/day,</p>	<p>For those patients fulfilling eligibility criteria, there are no alternatives to IVIg.</p>	<p>0.2g IVIG per kg body weight (i.e. 4ml/kg for a 5% solution)</p> <p>Brands have not been specified as no formal testing of products has been undertaken.</p> <p>VZIG (or IVIg when VZIG contraindicated) should be administered ideally within 7 days of exposure in</p>	<p>Prevention of chicken pox infection</p> <p>Prevention of severe chicken pox</p>	Yes

	<p>Immunosuppressed individuals are assessed at time of exposure into Group A & Group B based on likely level of immunosuppression</p> <p>Revised restrictions have been in place since August 2018 with VZIG currently being advised for women exposed in first 20 weeks of pregnancy and neonates. It is not clear how long these restrictions will be in place and when VZIG supplies will return to expected levels. Advice is available at: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/varicella-zoster-immunoglobulin</p>	<p>patients on doses of methotrexate 25mg/week or less A further dose of IVIg is not required if a new exposure occurs within 3 weeks of administration of VZIG or IVIG</p>		<p>susceptible immunosuppressed individuals. Where the exposure has been identified beyond 7 days, VZIG can be offered up to 14 days after exposure.</p> <p><i>Beyond this time for patients in both groups A and B, a discussion with the specialist caring for the individual should take place and IVIg (0.2g per kg body weight) may be considered in susceptible individuals for up to 21 days to attenuate infection</i></p>		
--	--	---	--	--	--	--

* Please note SPC currently indicates subcutaneous route of administration only (although previously indicate both s/c and im routes), PHE guidance recommends intramuscular administration for post exposure prophylaxis with Subgam.

*Dose of immunoglobulin in suspected tetanus cases:

IVIg Products tested for anti-tetanus antibodies	Volume required (in ml)	
	For individuals less than 50kg	For individuals more than 50kg
Gammplex 5%, Intratect 5%, Flebogamma 5%, Vigam 5%	400ml	800ml
PrIVIgen 10%, Octagam 10%, Intratect 10%, Flebogamma 10%	200ml	400ml

